

On framed instanton bundles and their deformations

Andreas Matuschke

Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, Graduiertenkolleg "Geometrie und Nichtlineare Analysis"

Ziegelstrasse 13 a, D-10099 Berlin

email: matuschk@mathematik.hu-berlin.de

October 10, 1996

Abstract

We consider a compact twistor space P and assume that there is a surface $S \subset P$, which has degree one on twistor fibres and contains a twistor fibre F , e.g. P a LeBrun twistor space ([20],[18]). Similar to [6] and [5] we examine the restriction of an instanton bundle V equipped with a fixed trivialisation along F to a framed vector bundle over (S,F) . First we develop inspired by [13] a suitable deformation theory for vector bundles over an analytic space framed by a vector bundle over a subspace of arbitrary codimension. In the second section we describe the restriction as a smooth natural transformation into a fine moduli space. By considering framed $U(r)$ -instanton bundles as a real structure on framed instanton bundles over P , we show that the bijection between isomorphism classes of framed $U(r)$ -instanton bundles and isomorphism classes of framed vector bundles over (S,F) due to [5] is actually an isomorphism of moduli spaces.

0 Introduction

We consider the twistor fibration $\pi : P \rightarrow M$ over a real four-dimensional compact manifold M with self-dual Riemannian metric. P is a three-dimensional complex manifold with an induced antiholomorphic fixpoint free involution τ , an antipodal map on the twistor fibers (cf. [3], [4], [7]). A line on P is a complex submanifold $L \subset P$ with $L \cong \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1$ and normal bundle $\mathcal{N}_{L|P} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)^{\oplus 2}$. In particular, twistor fibres are lines. We denote with $\mu : Z \rightarrow H$ the universal Douady-family of lines in P . The involution τ maps lines to lines and consequently induces an antiholomorphic involution on H . Then M appears as a set of fixpoints of τ and moreover as a real-analytic submanifold of H (cf. [3], [19]):

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & H \times P & & \\
 & & \cup & & \\
 P = Z \times_H M & \longrightarrow & Z & \xrightarrow{\nu} & P \\
 \downarrow \pi & & \downarrow \mu & & \\
 M & \subset & H & &
 \end{array}$$

Let $S \subset P$ be a surface intersecting twistor fibres with multiplicity 1 and containing a twistor fibre F . By [18], Prop.2.1, S is a smooth algebraic surface and F the only twistor fibre in S . With

$\bar{S} = \tau(S)$ we have $F = S \cdot \bar{S}$. The linear system $|F|$ defines a birational morphism $S \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ and we have $M \approx \#^n (-\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2)$ or S^4 . A well examined class of examples are the LeBrun twistor spaces, which fulfill the additional property $\dim|S| \geq 1$, cf. [20], [18]. These twistor spaces are classified as modifications of conic bundles and are algebraic in the sense of M. Artin [16].

A (mathematical) instanton bundle is an holomorphic vector bundle on P trivial on all twistor fibres. The Penrose-Ward transformation gives us an analytic equivalence between the categories of instanton bundles and of pairs (E, ∇) of complex vector bundles on M with self-dual connection. The pair (E, ∇) is associated to the C^∞ -bundle π^*E together with the holomorphic structure defined by $\bar{\partial} = (\pi^*\nabla)^{01}$. Conversely, an instanton bundle V gives rise to a pair (E, ∇) by taking $E = (\mu_*\nu^*V)|_M$ and ∇ as restriction of

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mu_* (\mathcal{O}_Z \otimes_{\nu^{-1}\mathcal{O}_P} \nu^{-1}V) & \xrightarrow{\mu_*(d_{Z|P} \otimes \text{id}_{\nu^{-1}V})} & \mu_*(\Omega_{Z|P}^1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Z} \nu^*V) \\ \downarrow \nabla & & \downarrow \cong \\ \Omega_H^1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_H} \mu_*\nu^*V & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \mu_*\Omega_{Z|P}^1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_H} \mu_*\nu^*V \end{array}$$

(cf. [3], [19]).

For G a linear group as for example $U(r)$, $SU(r)$, $Sp(r)$ or $SO(r)$, a G -instanton on M is given by a complex G -vector bundle E with self-dual connection ∇ compatible with the G -structure on E . The Penrose-Ward transformation associates a G -instanton to an instanton bundle with additional properties. In particular, the category of $U(r)$ -instantons is analytically equivalent to the category of instanton bundles V on P , for which there is an isomorphism $\varphi : V \cong \tau^*\bar{V}^\vee$ with $\tau^*\bar{\varphi}^\vee = \varphi$, where $\bar{V}^\vee$ denotes the bundle of antilinear forms. We denote these instanton bundles as $U(r)$ -instanton bundles or as physical instanton bundles (cf. [3], [4], [19]).

For an instanton bundle V on P we can fix a trivialisation $\alpha : V|_F \cong \mathcal{O}_F^r$ along our twistor fibre $F = S \cdot \bar{S}$. The resulting pair (V, α) is called a framed instanton bundle. The frame lives in codimension 2. By restricting V to S we obtain a framed vector bundle on a smooth rational surface, framed along a divisor that is big and nef. For this case the moduli problem is well examined by Lehn and Huybrechts in the algebraic-projective case ([21], [13]) and by Lübke [22] from the analytic point of view. It was an idea of Donaldson [6] to use this restriction map to discuss moduli of framed instantons. By the results of Buchdahl [5] it follows, that there is a bijection between the isomorphism classes of framed $U(r)$ -instantons and framed vector bundles on S .

In the first section this paper we develop the deformation theory for framed vector bundles on analytic spaces, where the frame has support of arbitrary codimension. I.e. we answer questions like: what is the tangent space and when is the deformation functor formally smooth. Everything in this section can be done in the same way and with the same results for seperated algebraic spaces of finite type over some field of characteristic zero.

In the second section we apply these results to framed instanton bundles and describe the mentioned restriction map infinitesimally. By describing the functor of framed $U(r)$ -instanton bundles as a real structure on the functor of framed mathematical instanton bundles, we show a real-analytic isomorphism between the moduli of framed $U(r)$ -instanton bundles and the moduli of framed vector bundles on S .

This paper is based on my Diplomarbeit, which was produced in March 1994 under the supervision of Herbert Kurke in Berlin.

1 Deformations of framed vector bundles

1.1 Families and deformations of framed vector bundles

The following notation is fixed through the whole section. Let X_0 be an analytic space, Y_0 a closed subspace with associated ideal sheaf $\mathcal{J}_{Y|X}$ and W_0 a fixed locally free sheaf of modules over Y_0 as in [9]. Then a *framed vector bundle* to the data (X_0, Y_0, W_0) consists of a pair (E_0, α_0) with E_0 a locally free module sheaf over X_0 and $\alpha_0 : E_0|_{Y_0} \rightarrow W_0$ a framing isomorphism. A *morphism* f between two framed vector bundles (E_0, α_0) and (E'_0, α'_0) is a sheaf morphism $f : E_0 \rightarrow E'_0$ with $\alpha'_0 \circ (f|_{Y_0}) = \alpha_0$. For an analytic space S a *family* of framed vector bundles for the given data (X_0, Y_0, W_0) parametrized by S is a framed vector bundle (E, α) for the data $(S \times X_0, S \times Y_0, p^*W_0)$, where $p : S \times X_0 \rightarrow X_0$ is the projection.

We fix a framed vector bundle (E_0, α_0) . A *deformation* of (E_0, α_0) over a germ of an analytic space (S, s_0) is represented by a triple (E, α, ψ) , where (E, α) is a family of framed vector bundles over S and ψ is an isomorphism from $E|_{\{s_0\} \times X_0}$ to E_0 , such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E|_{\{s_0\} \times X_0} & \xrightarrow[\psi]{\cong} & E_0|_{Y_0} \\ \cong \downarrow \alpha & & \cong \downarrow \alpha_0 \\ W_0 & \xrightarrow{=} & W_0 \end{array}$$

commutes. Here, the pointed space (S, s_0) is any representative of our germ. If $\eta : (T, t_0) \rightarrow (S, s_0)$ is a local analytic isomorphism, then $((\eta \times \text{id}_{X_0})^*E, (\eta \times \text{id}_{X_0})^*\alpha, (\eta \times \text{id}_{X_0})^*\psi)$ represents the same deformation.

Two deformations (E, α, ψ) and (E', α', ψ') of (E_0, α_0) over the same germ can be realized as families over the same pointed analytic space (S, s_0) . Then a morphism $f : (E, \alpha, \psi) \rightarrow (E', \alpha', \psi')$ is represented by a local analytic isomorphism $\eta : (T, t_0) \rightarrow (S, s_0)$ and a morphism

$$f : ((\eta \times \text{id}_{X_0})^*E, (\eta \times \text{id}_{X_0})^*\alpha) \rightarrow ((\eta \times \text{id}_{X_0})^*E', (\eta \times \text{id}_{X_0})^*\alpha')$$

of framed vector bundles with $(\eta \times \text{id}_{X_0})^*\psi' \circ (f|_{\{s_0\} \times X_0}) = (\eta \times \text{id}_{X_0})^*\psi$.

We denote with $\mathcal{M}(X_0, Y_0, W_0)$ the functor (analytic spaces) $\longrightarrow$ (sets) defined by

$$S \longrightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{isomorphism classes of families of} \\ \text{framed vector bundles to the data} \\ (X_0, Y_0, W_0) \text{ parametrized by } S \end{array} \right\}$$

and with $\text{Def}(E_0, \alpha_0)$ the functor (germs of analytic spaces) $\longrightarrow$ (sets)

defined by

$$(S, s_0) \longrightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{isomorphism classes of deformations} \\ \text{of } (E_0, \alpha_0) \text{ over } (S, s_0) \end{array} \right\}.$$

For a local ring $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ we write often $\text{Def}(E_0, \alpha_0)(R)$ instead of $\text{Def}(E_0, \alpha_0)(\text{Spec} R, \mathfrak{m})$. We note that in the case $\dim(S, s_0) = 0$ the germ represented by (S, s_0) coincides with the isomorphism class of this pointed space.

1.2 Deformation-theoretical tangent spaces

Let $\mathbb{C}[\varepsilon]$ be the $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra $\mathbb{C}[X]/(X^2)$ with $\varepsilon = X \bmod X^2$. According to Schlessinger [24] or M. Artin ([1], [2]) we define $\text{Def}(E_0, \alpha_0)(\mathbb{C}[\varepsilon])$ to be the deformation-theoretical tangent space of $\mathcal{M}(X_0, Y_0, W_0)$ at the point $(E_0, \alpha_0) \in \mathcal{M}(X_0, Y_0, W_0)(\mathbb{C})$. $\text{Aut}(E_0, \alpha_0)$ acts on $\text{Def}(E_0, \alpha_0)$ by $g \cdot (E, \alpha, \psi) = (E, \alpha, g \circ \psi)$. If this action is trivial and if there is a local moduli $\mathcal{M}$ for (E_0, α_0) , then we have canonically $T_{(E_0, \alpha_0)} \mathcal{M} = \text{Def}(E_0, \alpha_0)(\mathbb{C}[\varepsilon])$.

Due to [24], $\text{Def}(E_0, \alpha_0)(\mathbb{C}[\varepsilon])$ has a natural structure as complex vector space if the canonical mapping

$$\text{Def}(E_0, \alpha_0)(\mathbb{C}[\varepsilon] \times_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[\varepsilon]) \longrightarrow \text{Def}(E_0, \alpha_0)(\mathbb{C}[\varepsilon]) \times \text{Def}(E_0, \alpha_0)(\mathbb{C}[\varepsilon])$$

is bijective. For $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\text{mult}(\lambda) : \mathbb{C}[\varepsilon] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[\varepsilon]$ defined by $x + y\varepsilon \rightarrow x + \lambda y\varepsilon$, we obtain

$$\text{Def}(E_0, \alpha_0)(\text{mult}(\lambda)) : \text{Def}(E_0, \alpha_0)(\mathbb{C}[\varepsilon]) \longrightarrow \text{Def}(E_0, \alpha_0)(\mathbb{C}[\varepsilon]),$$

which explains the scalar multiplication. The mapping $\text{add} : \mathbb{C}[\varepsilon] \times_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[\varepsilon] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[\varepsilon]$ defined by $\text{add}(x + y\varepsilon, x + z\varepsilon) = x + (y + z)\varepsilon$ induces

$$\text{Def}(E_0, \alpha_0)(\text{add}) : \text{Def}(E_0, \alpha_0)(\mathbb{C}[\varepsilon] \times_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[\varepsilon]) \longrightarrow \text{Def}(E_0, \alpha_0)(\mathbb{C}[\varepsilon]).$$

Under the bijection above we obtain the additive structure on $\text{Def}(E_0, \alpha_0)(\mathbb{C}[\varepsilon])$. The assumed bijection is a consequence of the following lemma.

Lemma 1.1 *Let $A' \rightarrow A$ be a small extension of Artin rings. Then for any morphism $B \rightarrow A$ of Artin rings and for $B' = B \times_A A'$ the canonical mapping*

$$\text{Def}(E_0, \alpha_0)(B') \longrightarrow \text{Def}(E_0, \alpha_0)(A') \times_{\text{Def}(E_0, \alpha_0)(A)} \text{Def}(E_0, \alpha_0)(B)$$

is bijective.

Proof: We recall that an *Artin ring* is a local $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra of finite dimension. A *small extension* $A' \rightarrow A$ is given, if there is an element $t \in A'$, such that $A = A'/tA'$ and $t \cdot m_{A'} = 0$. Through the whole paper, m_R means the maximal ideal of the local ring R .

An element in $\text{Def}(E_0, \alpha_0)(A') \times_{\text{Def}(E_0, \alpha_0)(A)} \text{Def}(E_0, \alpha_0)(B)$ is given by a pair $((E', \alpha'), (F, \beta))$ with $(E', \alpha') \in \text{Def}(E_0, \alpha_0)(A')$ and $(F, \beta) \in \text{Def}(E_0, \alpha_0)(B)$, such that we have $E' \otimes_{A'} A = F \otimes_B B = E$ and $\alpha' \otimes 1_A = \beta \otimes 1_B = \alpha$, where $(E, \alpha) \in \text{Def}(E_0, \alpha_0)(A)$. With $A' \rightarrow A$ small also $B' = B \times_A A' \rightarrow B$ is a small extension. Moreover there is an element $t' \in B'$, such that $B = B'/t'B'$, $t' \cdot m_{B'} = 0$ and $B' \rightarrow A'$ induces $t'B' \cong tA'$.

By taking $F' = E' \times_E F$ we obtain a commutative diagramm

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & E_0 & \xrightarrow{t} & E' & \xrightarrow{p} & E & \rightarrow & 0 \\ & & \uparrow = & & \uparrow g & & \uparrow f & & \\ 0 & \rightarrow & E_0 & \xrightarrow{t'} & F' & \xrightarrow{q} & F & \rightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

with exact lines. Since the induced mappings $\bar{f} : F/m_B F \rightarrow E/m_A E$ and $\bar{f} : E'/m_{A'} E' \rightarrow E/m_A E$ are isomorphisms and since $t : E'/m_{A'} E' \rightarrow tE'$ with $(x \bmod m_{A'} E') \rightarrow tx$ is surjective, we have $t'F' \cong tE' \cong tA' \otimes_{A'} E' \cong E_0 \cong F/m_B F \cong t'B' \otimes_{B'} F'$, where the second isomorphism is given by the A' -flatness of E' . Hence, with F is flat over B also F' is flat over A' .

By restriction we obtain

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & W_0 & \xrightarrow{t} & A' \otimes W_0 & \longrightarrow & A \otimes W_0 \rightarrow 0 \\ & & \uparrow = & & \uparrow \alpha' \circ g|_{\text{Spec} B' \times Y_0} & & \uparrow \\ 0 & \rightarrow & W_0 & \xrightarrow{t' \circ \alpha_0^{-1}} & F'|_{\text{Spec} B' \times Y_0} & \xrightarrow{\beta \circ q|_{\text{Spec} B' \times Y_0}} & B \otimes W_0 \rightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

For h the projection $A' \otimes W_0 \rightarrow W_0$ the lower line splits by $h \circ \alpha' \circ g|_{Y_0}$. We consider the composition $\beta' = (h \circ \alpha' \circ g|_{\text{Spec} B' \times Y_0}) \oplus (\beta \circ q|_{\text{Spec} B' \times Y_0}) : F'|_{\text{Spec} B' \times Y_0} \cong B' \otimes W_0$ and obtain (F', β) as an element in $\text{Def}(E_0, \alpha_0)(B')$. The restriction of F' to $\text{Spec} B \times X_0$ yields F , the pullback of F' to $\text{Spec} A' \times X_0$ yields E' and both is compatible with the framings. Thus the mapping $((E', \alpha'), (F, \beta)) \rightarrow (F', \beta')$ is the inverse to

$$\text{Def}(E_0, \alpha_0)(B') \longrightarrow \text{Def}(E_0, \alpha_0)(A') \times_{\text{Def}(E_0, \alpha_0)(A)} \text{Def}(E_0, \alpha_0)(B). \quad \square$$

1.3 Deformations and extensions

Theorem 1.2 *Let $(E_0, \alpha_0) \in \mathcal{M}(X_0, Y_0, W_0)(\mathbb{C})$. There is a canonical isomorphism*

$$\text{Ext}_{X_0}^1(E_0, E_0 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X_0}} \mathcal{J}_{Y_0|X_0}) = \text{Def}(E_0, \alpha_0)(\mathbb{C}[\varepsilon]).$$

Proof: For $X = \text{Spec} \mathbb{C}[\varepsilon] \times X_0$ we have $\mathcal{O}_X = \mathcal{O}_{X_0} \oplus \varepsilon \mathcal{O}_{X_0}$. For $(E, \alpha, \psi) \in \text{Def}(E_0, \alpha_0)(\mathbb{C}[\varepsilon])$ the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \varepsilon E \rightarrow E \rightarrow E/\varepsilon E \rightarrow 0$ yields therefore an element

$$(e) = \left(0 \rightarrow E_0 \xrightarrow{i} E \xrightarrow{p} E_0 \rightarrow 0 \right)$$

in $\text{Ext}_{X_0}^1(E_0, E_0)$, where we have used $\psi : E/\varepsilon E \cong E_0$ and $\mathbb{C}[\varepsilon]/\varepsilon\mathbb{C}[\varepsilon] \cong \varepsilon\mathbb{C}[\varepsilon]$. By restriction to Y_0 we obtain a commutative diagram with exact lines:

$$(d) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & E_0|_{Y_0} & \longrightarrow & E|_{Y_0} & \longrightarrow & E_0|_{Y_0} \rightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow \alpha_0 & & \downarrow \alpha & & \downarrow \alpha_0 \\ 0 & \rightarrow & W_0 & \longrightarrow & W_0 \oplus \varepsilon W_0 & \longrightarrow & W_0 \rightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

We note that $(e) \in \ker(\text{Ext}_{X_0}^1(E_0, E_0) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{Y_0}^1(E_0|_{Y_0}, E_0|_{Y_0}))$. Conversely, the pair $((e), (d))$ determines the deformation (E, α, ψ) by defining the multiplication of a local section $x \in E$ with ε by $\varepsilon x = (i \circ p)(x)$.

Now we describe a canonical map ν from $\text{Ext}_{X_0}^1(E_0, E_0 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X_0}} \mathcal{J}_{Y_0|X_0})$ to $\text{Def}(E_0, \alpha_0)(\mathbb{C}[\varepsilon])$. Let $(f) = (0 \rightarrow E_0 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X_0}} \mathcal{J}_{Y_0|X_0} \xrightarrow{i} F \rightarrow E_0 \rightarrow 0)$. For j the embedding $E_0 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X_0}} \mathcal{J}_{Y_0|X_0} \xrightarrow{j} E_0$ the fibre sum of i and j

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & E_0 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X_0}} \mathcal{J}_{Y_0|X_0} & \xrightarrow{i} & F & \longrightarrow & E_0 \rightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow j & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \rightarrow & E_0 & \longrightarrow & E = F \amalg_{E_0 \otimes \mathcal{J}_{Y_0|X_0}} E_0 & \longrightarrow & E_0 \rightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

defines an element $(e) = (0 \rightarrow E_0 \rightarrow E \rightarrow E_0 \rightarrow 0)$ in $\text{Ext}_{X_0}^1(E_0, E_0)$. In particular we have $E = F \amalg_{E_0 \otimes \mathcal{J}_{Y_0|X_0}} E_0 = (F \oplus E_0)/N$, where N is the subsheaf consisting of all pairs (x, y) such that there is a $z \in E_0 \otimes \mathcal{J}_{Y_0|X_0}$ with $i(z)=y$ and $j(z)=x$. Thus we have

$$E|_{Y_0} = E/\mathcal{J}_{Y_0|X_0}E = (F \oplus E_0)/(N + (\mathcal{J}_{Y_0|X_0}F \oplus \mathcal{J}_{Y_0|X_0}E_0))$$

and together with $N + \mathcal{J}_{Y_0|X_0}E_0 = i(\mathcal{J}_{Y_0|X_0}E_0) \oplus \mathcal{J}_{Y_0|X_0}E_0$ we obtain the composition

$$\begin{aligned} E|_{Y_0} &= (F/i(\mathcal{J}_{Y_0|X_0}E_0) + \mathcal{J}_{Y_0|X_0}F) \oplus (E_0/\mathcal{J}_{Y_0|X_0}E_0) \\ &\cong ((F/i(\mathcal{J}_{Y_0|X_0}E_0))/\mathcal{J}_{Y_0|X_0}(F/i(\mathcal{J}_{Y_0|X_0}E_0))) \oplus (E_0/\mathcal{J}_{Y_0|X_0}E_0) \\ &\cong (E_0/\mathcal{J}_{Y_0|X_0}E_0) \oplus (E_0/\mathcal{J}_{Y_0|X_0}E_0) \\ &\cong E_0|_{Y_0} \oplus E_0|_{Y_0} \xrightarrow[\alpha_0, \varepsilon\alpha_0]{\cong} W_0 \oplus \varepsilon W_0, \end{aligned}$$

which yields a framing $\alpha : E|_{Y_0} \cong W_0 \oplus \varepsilon W_0$ in a canonical way, whence we have found our map by taking $\nu(f) = (E, \alpha)$.

To find the inverse map of ν , we associate for a given $(E, \alpha) \in \text{Def}(E_0, \alpha_0)(\mathbb{C}[\varepsilon])$ a pair $((e), (d))$ with $(e) \in \text{Ext}_{X_0}^1(E_0, \alpha_0)$ as described above and denote with q the composition

$$E \rightarrow E|_{Y_0} \xrightarrow{\alpha} W_0 \oplus \varepsilon W_0 \xrightarrow{\text{2nd projection}} \varepsilon W_0 \cong W_0.$$

By defining $F = \ker(q)$ we obtain $\mathcal{J}_{Y_0|X_0}E_0 \cong E_0 \times_E F$ and moreover, the map $\mathcal{J}_{Y_0|X_0}E_0 \rightarrow F$ given by the fibre product is injective and its cokernel is isomorphic to E_0 . Thus we have obtained

an element $(f) = (0 \rightarrow \mathcal{J}_{Y_0|X_0} E_0 \rightarrow F \rightarrow E_0 \rightarrow 0)$ in $\text{Ext}_{X_0}^1(E_0, \mathcal{J}_{Y_0|X_0} E_0)$ and a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & 0 & & 0 & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 0 & \rightarrow & \mathcal{J}_{Y_0|X_0} E_0 & \longrightarrow & F & \longrightarrow & E_0 \rightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow = \\
 0 & \rightarrow & E_0 & \longrightarrow & E & \longrightarrow & E_0 \rightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow q & & \\
 & & E_0|_{Y_0} & \xrightarrow{\alpha_0} & W_0 & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & 0 & & 0 & &
 \end{array}$$

with exact lines and columns, from where we see that $\nu(f) = (E, \alpha)$ and hence ν is a canonical bijection.

It is not hard but some writing to describe the linear structure of $\text{Def}(E_0, \alpha_0)(\mathbb{C}[\varepsilon])$ in terms of ((e), (d)). From there it is obvious that ν is linear, too. $\square$

1.4 Smoothness of the deformation functor

As in [24] or [2], the functor $\text{Def}(E_0, \alpha_0)$ is called *formally smooth*, if for every small extension $R \rightarrow R/tR = \bar{R}$ of Artin rings (i.e. $t \in R$ with $t \cdot m_R = 0$) the induced mapping from $\text{Def}(E_0, \alpha_0)(R)$ to $\text{Def}(E_0, \alpha_0)(\bar{R})$ is surjective. In the following we fix an arbitrary small extension $R \rightarrow R/tR = \bar{R}$ of Artin rings and define spaces $X = \text{Spec} R \times X_0$, $Y = \text{Spec} R \times Y_0$, $\bar{X} = \text{Spec} \bar{R} \times X_0$ and $\bar{Y} = \text{Spec} \bar{R} \times Y_0$. With W and $\bar{W}$ we denote the pullbacks of W_0 to Y and $\bar{Y}$, respectively. The assertion shown by the next Proposition is known as *T¹-lifting property*.

Proposition 1.3 *Let $(E, \alpha) \in \text{Def}(E_0, \alpha_0)(R)$ and $(\bar{E}, \bar{\alpha}) \in \text{Def}(E_0, \alpha_0)(\bar{R})$. We may think of both as framed vector bundles to the framing data (X, Y, W) and $(\bar{X}, \bar{Y}, \bar{W})$, respectively. If $\text{Ext}_{X_0}^2(E_0, \mathcal{J}_{Y_0|X_0} \otimes E_0) = 0$, then the natural homomorphism between the deformation-theoretical tangent spaces $\text{Def}(E, \alpha)(\mathbb{C}[\varepsilon]) \rightarrow \text{Def}(\bar{E}, \bar{\alpha})(\mathbb{C}[\varepsilon])$ is surjective.*

Proof: With E flat over X and deformation of E_0 we have $tE = tR \otimes E \cong E/m_R E = E_0$ and therefore a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow E_0 \xrightarrow{t} E \longrightarrow \bar{E} \rightarrow 0.$$

With $\bar{E}$ flat over X_0 and $\mathcal{J}_{Y|X} = \mathcal{J}_{Y_0|X_0} \otimes \mathcal{O}_X$ we also have

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{J}_{Y|X} \otimes E_0 \xrightarrow{t} \mathcal{J}_{Y|X} \otimes E \longrightarrow \mathcal{J}_{Y|X} \otimes \bar{E} \rightarrow 0.$$

As part of the long Ext-sequence we obtain

$$\mathrm{Ext}_X^1(E, \mathcal{J}_{Y|X} \otimes E) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ext}_{\bar{X}}^1(\bar{E}, \mathcal{J}_{\bar{Y}|\bar{X}} \otimes \bar{E}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ext}_{X_0}^2(E_0, \mathcal{J}_{Y_0|X_0} \otimes E_0),$$

where $\mathrm{Ext}_X^1(E, \mathcal{J}_{Y|X} \otimes \bar{E}) = \mathrm{Ext}_{\bar{X}}^1(\bar{E}, \mathcal{J}_{\bar{Y}|\bar{X}} \otimes \bar{E})$ and $\mathrm{Ext}_X^2(E, \mathcal{J}_{Y|X} \otimes E_0) = \mathrm{Ext}_{X_0}^2(E_0, \mathcal{J}_{Y_0|X_0} \otimes E_0)$ were used. The first homomorphism of the last exact sequence coincides with $\mathrm{Def}(E, \alpha)(\mathbb{C}[\varepsilon]) \rightarrow \mathrm{Def}(\bar{E}, \bar{\alpha})(\mathbb{C}[\varepsilon])$ by Theorem 1.2 and we are done. $\square$

Theorem 1.4 *If $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathrm{Ext}_{X_0}^1(E_0, \mathcal{J}_{Y_0|X_0} E_0) < \infty$ and $\mathrm{Ext}_{X_0}^2(E_0, \mathcal{J}_{Y_0|X_0} E_0) = 0$, then $\mathrm{Def}(E_0, \alpha_0)$ is formally smooth.*

Proof: Because of Lemma 1.1 and since the dimension of $\mathrm{Ext}_{X_0}^1(E_0, \mathcal{J}_{Y_0|X_0} E_0)$ is finite, the functor $\mathrm{Def}(E_0, \alpha_0)$ is prorepresentable by the criterion of Schlessinger [24]. The T^1 -lifting property holds by Proposition 1.3 and the application of the Kawamata-Ran principle ([15], Theorem 1) yields our assertion. $\square$

Remark. D. Huybrechts and M. Lehn consider in [13] a framed module over an algebraic nonsingular projective variety X_0 given by a coherent $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module E_0 and a nonzero morphism from E_0 to a fixed coherent $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module D_0 . They introduce a notion of stability in this situation and describe the deformation-theoretical tangent space of a stable framed module $(E_0, E_0 \rightarrow D_0)$ as $\mathbb{E}\mathrm{Ext}^1(E_0, E_0 \rightarrow D_0)$ and the obstructions for smoothness as living in $\mathbb{E}\mathrm{Ext}^2(E_0, E_0 \rightarrow D_0)$. Here we think of a sheaf as a complex of sheaves concentrated in zero and of a morphism of sheaves as a complex of sheaves concentrated in zero and one. From the short exact sequence of complexes

$$0 \rightarrow (\mathcal{J}_{Y_0|X_0} E_0 \rightarrow 0) \rightarrow (E_0 \rightarrow E_0|_{Y_0}) \rightarrow (E_0|_{Y_0} \rightarrow E_0|_{Y_0}) \rightarrow 0$$

we obtain the long hyperext-sequence

$$\begin{aligned} \dots \rightarrow \mathbb{E}\mathrm{Ext}^{i-1}(E_0, E_0|_{Y_0} \rightarrow E_0|_{Y_0}) &\rightarrow \mathbb{E}\mathrm{Ext}^i(E_0, \mathcal{J}_{Y_0|X_0} E_0) \rightarrow \\ &\rightarrow \mathbb{E}\mathrm{Ext}^i(E_0, E_0 \rightarrow E_0|_{Y_0}) \rightarrow \mathbb{E}\mathrm{Ext}^i(E_0, E_0|_{Y_0} \rightarrow E_0|_{Y_0}) \rightarrow \dots \end{aligned}$$

Since $\mathrm{Hom}^\bullet(E_0, (E_0|_{Y_0} \rightarrow E_0|_{Y_0}))$ is the exact complex $\mathrm{Hom}(E_0, E_0|_{Y_0}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(E_0, E_0|_{Y_0})$ we have $\mathbb{E}\mathrm{Ext}^i(E_0, E_0|_{Y_0} \rightarrow E_0|_{Y_0}) = H^i(\mathbb{R}^+ \mathrm{Hom}^\bullet(E_0, (E_0|_{Y_0} \rightarrow E_0|_{Y_0}))) = 0$ (cf. [10], §1.6). With $\mathbb{E}\mathrm{Ext}^i(E_0, \mathcal{J}_{Y_0|X_0} E_0) = \mathrm{Ext}^i(E_0, \mathcal{J}_{Y_0|X_0} E_0)$ the results above correspond to the results in [13] in the smooth projective and stable case.

2 Framed instanton bundles

2.1 The restriction $\mathcal{M}(P, F, \mathcal{O}_F^r) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(S, F, \mathcal{O}_F^r)$

Let X_0, Y_0, W_0 and $\mathcal{M}(X_0, Y_0, W_0)$ be as in section 1.1. Then the framing data (X_0, Y_0, W_0) are called *simplifying*, if for any two representatives $(E_1, \alpha_1), (E_2, \alpha_2)$ of elements in $\mathcal{M}(X_0, Y_0, W_0)(\mathbb{C})$

we have $H^0(X_0, \mathcal{H}om(E_1, E_2) \otimes \mathcal{J}_{Y_0|X_0}) = 0$. This definition of simplifying is analogous to the definition in [21] or [22].

We consider now a twistor fibration $\pi : P \rightarrow M$ with M compact and $S \subset P$ a surface of degree 1 on the twistor fibres containing a unique twistor fibre $F = S \cdot \bar{S} \subset S$ as in the introduction. Moreover we fix some integer $r > 0$.

Lemma 2.1 *The framing data $(S, F, \mathcal{O}_F^r)$ are simplifying.*

Proof: Consider $(E_1, \alpha_1), (E_2, \alpha_2) \in \mathcal{M}(S, F, \mathcal{O}_F^r)(\mathbb{C})$ and let s be in $H^0(S, \mathcal{H}om(E_1, E_2)(-F))$. If H denotes the Douady space of lines on S , then there is an open neighbourhood U in H , such that E_1 and E_2 and hence $\mathcal{H}om(E_1, E_2)$ are trivial along all lines $L \in U$ and moreover $(F \cdot L) = 1$. Since s is a global section of $\mathcal{H}om(E_1, E_2)$ vanishing along F , for all $L \in U$ we have $s|_L$ as global section of a trivial vector bundle over a line vanishing in one point and therefore $s|_L = 0$. Thus s vanishes on an open subset of S and with S irreducible we obtain, that s vanishes everywhere, which proves our claim. $\square$

Lemma 2.2 *If W is a vector bundle on S with $W|_F \cong \mathcal{O}_F^r$, then the framing data (P, S, W) are simplifying.*

Proof: Consider $(E_1, \alpha_1), (E_2, \alpha_2) \in \mathcal{M}(P, S, W)(\mathbb{C})$, $s \in H^0(P, \mathcal{H}om(E_1, E_2)(-S))$ and let now H denotes the Douady space of lines on P . We find an open neighbourhood U in H , such that $\mathcal{H}om(E_1, E_2)$ is trivial along all lines $L \in U$ and moreover $(S \cdot L) = 1$. Since s vanishes along S , it vanishes also along all L in U , therefore on an open subset of P and therefore everywhere. $\square$

Finally we also state

Lemma 2.3 *The framing data $(P, F, \mathcal{O}_F^r)$ are simplifying.*

Proof: Let $(E_1, \alpha_1), (E_2, \alpha_2) \in \mathcal{M}(S, F, \mathcal{O}_F^r)(\mathbb{C})$ and $s \in H^0(P, \mathcal{H}om(E_1, E_2) \otimes \mathcal{J}_{F|P})$. Then by lemma 2.1 s vanishes along S and thus everywhere on P by lemma 2.2. $\square$

Remark: If the framing data (X_0, Y_0, W_0) are simplifying, then we have for all elements (E, α) in $\mathcal{M}(X_0, Y_0, W_0)(\mathbb{C})$ in particular $\text{Aut}((E, \alpha)) = \{\text{id}_E\}$. Because, for $s \in \text{Aut}((E, \alpha))$ we have $s|_{Y_0} = \text{id}_E|_{Y_0}$ and therefore $s - \text{id}_E \in H^0(X_0, \mathcal{H}om(E, E) \otimes \mathcal{J}_{Y_0|X_0}) = 0$. Since by [23] F intersects every effective divisor on P positively, every morphism of framed vector bundles to the framing data $(P, F, \mathcal{O}_F^r)$ is an isomorphism and moreover there is at most one morphism between two such framed vector bundles.

Proposition 2.4 *For $\mathcal{M}(P, F, \mathcal{O}_F^r) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(S, F, \mathcal{O}_F^r)$ the natural transformation given by restriction, the fibre over a point $(W, \sigma) \in \mathcal{M}(S, F, \mathcal{O}_F^r)(\mathbb{C})$ is naturally equivalent to $\mathcal{M}(P, S, W)$.*

Proof: Let X be some analytic space and V_1 and V_2 two vector bundles on the space $X \times P$ with $V_1|_{X \times F} \cong V_2|_{X \times F} \cong \mathcal{O}_{X \times F}^r$. The exactness of

$$H^0(S, \mathcal{H}om(V_1|_S, V_2|_S)(-X \times F)) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}om(V_1|_{X \times S}, V_2|_{X \times S}) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}om(V_1|_{X \times F}, V_2|_{X \times F})$$

and lemma 2.1 yield the injectivity of

$$\mathcal{H}om(V_1|_{X \times S}, V_2|_{X \times S}) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}om(V_1|_{X \times F}, V_2|_{X \times F}),$$

a fact which will be denoted with $(*)$ in the following.

For W a vector bundle on S and $\sigma : W|_F \cong \mathcal{O}_F^r$ we consider the natural transformation $\mathcal{M}(P, S, W) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(P, F, \mathcal{O}_F^r)$ given by restriction of the framing map. I.e. if (V, α) is an element in $\mathcal{M}(P, S, W)(X)$, then (V, α) is associated to the element $(V, (p_F^* \sigma) \circ (\alpha|_{X \times F}))$, where the morphism $p_F : X \times F \rightarrow F$ is the projection and $p_F^* \sigma : p_F^* W \cong \mathcal{O}_{X \times F}^r$ is the pullback. If (V_1, α_1) and (V_2, α_2) are in $\mathcal{M}(P, S, W)(X)$, such that there is an isomorphism of framed vector bundles

$$\varphi : (V_1, (p_F^* \sigma) \circ (\alpha_1|_{X \times F})) \cong (V_2, (p_F^* \sigma) \circ (\alpha_2|_{X \times F})),$$

i.e. with $(p_F^* \sigma) \circ (\alpha_2|_{X \times F}) \circ (\varphi|_{X \times F}) = (p_F^* \sigma) \circ (\alpha_1|_{X \times F})$, then $(*)$ implies, that $\varphi|_{X \times S} = \alpha_2^{-1} \circ \alpha_1$ and therefore $(V_1, \alpha_1) \cong (V_2, \alpha_2)$. Thus $\mathcal{M}(P, S, W)(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(P, F, \mathcal{O}_F^r)(X)$ is injective.

Now we consider an element $(V, \alpha) \in \mathcal{M}(P, F, \mathcal{O}_F^r)(X)$, such that there is an isomorphism of framed vector bundles $\varphi : (V|_{X \times S}, \alpha) \cong (p_S^* W, p_F^* \sigma)$ with $p_S : X \times S \rightarrow S$ the projection. With $(*)$ and with $(p_F^* \sigma) \circ (\varphi|_{X \times F}) = \alpha$, φ is well defined by $(p_F^* \sigma)^{-1} \circ \alpha \in \mathcal{H}om(V|_{X \times F}, p_F^* W)$ and hence (V, α) uniquely determines an element $(V, \varphi) \in \mathcal{M}(P, S, W)(X)$. Conversely, the image of (V, φ) in $\mathcal{M}(P, F, \mathcal{O}_F^r)(X)$ is again (V, α) , hence the natural transformation $\mathcal{M}(P, S, W) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(P, F, \mathcal{O}_F^r)$ induces a bijection between $\mathcal{M}(P, S, W)(X)$ and the fibre of $\mathcal{M}(P, F, \mathcal{O}_F^r)(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(S, F, \mathcal{O}_F^r)(X)$ over $(p_S^* W, p_F^* \sigma)$.

These bijections correspond actually to a natural equivalence between $\mathcal{M}(P, S, W)$ and the fibre of $\mathcal{M}(P, F, \mathcal{O}_F^r) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(S, F, \mathcal{O}_F^r)$ over (W, σ) . So far everything was natural except the choice of (W, σ) as a representative of an element in $\mathcal{M}(S, F, \mathcal{O}_F^r)(\mathbb{C})$. If (W', σ') is another representative of the same isomorphism class, then there is an isomorphism $\psi : W' \rightarrow W$ with $\sigma \circ \psi|_F = \sigma'$. Because of $(*)$ this isomorphism is unique and therefore there is a unique natural equivalence from $\mathcal{M}(P, S, W')$ to $\mathcal{M}(P, S, W)$, which moreover maps the fibre of $\mathcal{M}(P, F, \mathcal{O}_F^r) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(S, F, \mathcal{O}_F^r)$ over (W, σ) identically to itself. $\square$

Since Chern classes are locally constant, the functors of families of framed vector bundles $\mathcal{M}(P, F, \mathcal{O}_F^r)$, $\mathcal{M}(S, F, \mathcal{O}_F^r)$ and $\mathcal{M}(P, S, W)$ as above split into open and closed subfunctors $\mathcal{M}(P, F, \mathcal{O}_F^r, c_\bullet)$, $\mathcal{M}(S, F, \mathcal{O}_F^r, c_\bullet)$ and $\mathcal{M}(P, S, W, c_\bullet)$, where $c_\bullet$ are fixed Chern classes in $H^\bullet(P, \mathbb{Z})$ and $H^\bullet(S, \mathbb{Z})$, respectively. In particular, our restriction $\mathcal{M}(P, F, \mathcal{O}_F^r) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(S, F, \mathcal{O}_F^r)$ splits into open and closed parts

$$\mathcal{M}(P, F, \mathcal{O}_F^r, c_\bullet) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(S, F, \mathcal{O}_F^r, i^* c_\bullet)$$

with $c_\bullet \in H^\bullet(P, \mathbb{Z})$ and $i : S \hookrightarrow P$. It is easy to see, that the fibre of $\mathcal{M}(P, F, \mathcal{O}_F^r) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(S, F, \mathcal{O}_F^r)$ over an $(W, \sigma) \in \mathcal{M}(S, F, \mathcal{O}_F^r, i^*c_\bullet)(\mathbb{C})$ is just $\mathcal{M}(P, S, W, c_\bullet)$. Later on, when we restrict ourself to the case of framed instanton bundles, we only have to consider Cern classes coming from $H^\bullet(M, \mathbb{Z})$, i.e. we will consider

$$\mathcal{M}(P, F, \mathcal{O}_F^r, \pi^*c_\bullet) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(S, F, \mathcal{O}_F^r, \pi_S^*c_\bullet),$$

where $c_\bullet \in H^\bullet(M, \mathbb{Z})$ and π_S is the restriction of the twistor fibration to S .

The image of our natural transformation has a fine moduli space by the following proposition:

Proposition 2.5 *The functor $\mathcal{M}(S, F, \mathcal{O}_F^r, c_\bullet)$ is represented by a separated algebraic space of finite type over $\mathbb{C}$.*

Proof: This is a corollary of Satz 3.4.1 in Lehn's [21]. By [18], Prop. 2.1, S is a smooth projective surface and by Lemma 2.1 the framing data $(S, F, \mathcal{O}_F^r)$ are simplifying. Therefore it is sufficient to show, that F is the support of a divisor, which is big and nef. Since the linear system $|F|$ defines by [18] a blowing up $S \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$, F intersects all lines on S coming from $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ with multiplicity 1 and all exceptional lines with multiplicity 0, which implies that F is numerically effective. The big-condition follows by $\mathcal{N}_{F|S} \cong \mathcal{O}_F(1)$. $\square$

2.2 Smoothness and dimensions

By H. Kurke's article [18], Prop.2.1, the canonical bundle of P is $K_P = \mathcal{O}_P(-2S - 2\bar{S})$. Moreover, $H^\bullet(P, \mathbb{Z})$ is generated by elements $\{\omega, \eta_1, \dots, \eta_n\} \in H^2(P, \mathbb{Z})$, where $\{\eta_1, \dots, \eta_n\}$ are an orthogonal basis of $H^2(M, \mathbb{Z})$. We put $\eta = \sum \eta_i$. There are the relations $\eta_i^2 = -[F]$, $\eta_i^3 = 0$, $\eta_i \eta_j = 0$ for $i \neq j$, $\omega^2 + \omega \eta = [F]$, $\omega^2 \eta_i = 1$, $\omega \eta_i^2 = -1$, $c_1(P) = 4\omega + 2\eta$ and $c_2(P) = 3(e(M) - \text{sgn}(M))[F]$. We have $[S] = \omega + \sum a_i \eta_i$ with $a_i = 0$ or 1 and from $(S \cdot \bar{S}) = F$ we easily infer $[\bar{S}] = \omega + \sum (1 - a_i) \eta_i$. We put $\sigma = \sum a_i \eta_i$ and $\bar{\sigma} = \sum (1 - a_i) \eta_i$.

We fix a Chern class $c_\bullet \in H^\bullet(M, \mathbb{Z})$ and consider a vector bundle V on P of rank r with $c_\bullet(V) = \pi^*c_\bullet$.

Lemma 2.6 *We have the equality of Euler characteristics: $\chi(\text{End}(V)(-S)) = \chi(\text{End}(V)(-\bar{S}))$.*

Proof: By [11], Theorem 4.4.3 we have

$$c_1(\text{End}V) = c_3(\text{End}V) = 0 \text{ and } c_2(\text{End}V) = 2rc_2(V) + (1-r)c_1^2(V).$$

Since the Chern classes of V are coming from $H^\bullet(M, \mathbb{Z})$, we have $c_2(\text{End}V)$ as a multiple of $[F]$.

From the same theorem we obtain by computation that

$$\begin{aligned}
c_1(\mathcal{E}nd(V)(-S)) &= r(\omega + \sigma), \\
c_2(\mathcal{E}nd(V)(-S)) &= c_2(\mathcal{E}ndV) + \binom{r}{2}(\omega^2 + 2\omega\sigma + \sigma^2), \\
c_3(\mathcal{E}nd(V)(-S)) &= (r-2)c_2(\mathcal{E}ndV)(\omega + \sigma) + \binom{r}{3}(\omega^3 + 3\omega^2\sigma + 3\omega\sigma^2), \\
c_1(\mathcal{E}nd(V)(-\bar{S})) &= r(\omega + \bar{\sigma}), \\
c_2(\mathcal{E}nd(V)(-\bar{S})) &= c_2(\mathcal{E}ndV) + \binom{r}{2}(\omega^2 + 2\omega\bar{\sigma} + \bar{\sigma}^2), \\
c_3(\mathcal{E}nd(V)(-\bar{S})) &= (r-2)c_2(\mathcal{E}ndV)(\omega + \bar{\sigma}) + \binom{r}{3}(\omega^3 + 3\omega^2\bar{\sigma} + 3\omega\bar{\sigma}^2),
\end{aligned}$$

The Hirzebruch-Riemann-Roch theorem yields

$$\begin{aligned}
\chi(\mathcal{E}nd(V)(-S)) &= \frac{r}{24}c_1(P)c_2(P) + \frac{r\omega + r\sigma}{12}(16\omega^2 + 4\eta^2 + 16\omega\eta + 3(e(M) - \text{sgn}(M))[F]) \\
&\quad + \frac{2\omega + \eta}{2}(r^2\omega^2 + r^2\sigma^2 + 2r^2\omega\sigma - 2c_2(\mathcal{E}ndV) - (r^2 - r)(\omega^2 + \sigma^2 + 2\omega\sigma)) \\
&\quad + \frac{r^3}{6}(\omega^3 + 3\omega^2\sigma + 3\omega\sigma^2) - \frac{r\omega + r\sigma}{2}(c_2(\mathcal{E}ndV) + \frac{r^2 - r}{2}(\omega^2 + \sigma^2 + 2\omega\sigma)) \\
&\quad + \frac{r-2}{6}c_2(\mathcal{E}ndV)(\omega + \sigma) + \frac{r^3 - 3r^2 + 2r}{36}(\omega^3 + 3\omega^2\sigma + 3\omega\sigma^2)
\end{aligned}$$

and the analogous formula for $\chi(\mathcal{E}nd(V)(-\bar{S}))$, where just all σ 's are replaced by $\bar{\sigma}$. Thus we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\chi(\mathcal{E}nd(V)(-S)) - \chi(\mathcal{E}nd(V)(-\bar{S})) &= \\
&\quad \omega(\sigma^2 - \bar{\sigma}^2)(\frac{7}{6}r + \frac{1}{2}r^2 - \frac{1}{6}r^3) + \omega^2(\sigma - \bar{\sigma})(\frac{13}{6}r + \frac{1}{2}r^2 - \frac{1}{6}r^3) + \omega\eta(\sigma - \bar{\sigma})r,
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used

$$(\sigma - \bar{\sigma})\eta^2 = (\sigma^2 - \bar{\sigma}^2)\eta = (\sigma - \bar{\sigma})[F] = (\sigma - \bar{\sigma})c_2(\mathcal{E}ndV) = (\sigma - \bar{\sigma})(\omega^2 + \omega\eta) = 0.$$

We had $\sigma = \sum a_i \eta_i$ and $\bar{\sigma} = \sum (1 - a_i) \eta_i$ with $a_i = 0, 1$ and put $A = \sum a_i$. Thus $\omega(\sigma^2 - \bar{\sigma}^2) = n - 2A$ and $\omega^2(\sigma - \bar{\sigma}) = 2A - n$. With $\eta\sigma = \sigma^2$ and $\eta\bar{\sigma} = \bar{\sigma}^2$ we also have $\omega\eta(\sigma - \bar{\sigma}) = \omega(\sigma^2 - \bar{\sigma}^2) = n - 2A$. Inserting all this in the equation above we obtain

$$\chi(\mathcal{E}nd(V)(-S)) - \chi(\mathcal{E}nd(V)(-\bar{S})) = 0, \quad \square$$

Due to Hitchin's article [12] we have for all $U(r)$ -instanton bundles V on P the vanishing $H^1(P, V(-S - \bar{S})) = 0$. If V is an $U(r)$ -instanton bundle, then so does $\mathcal{E}ndV$ and we have also $H^1(P, \mathcal{E}nd(V)(-S - \bar{S})) = 0$. We denote with $\mathcal{M}_0(P, F, \mathcal{O}_F^r)$ the open subfunctor of $\mathcal{M}(P, F, \mathcal{O}_F^r)$ with

$$\mathcal{M}_0(P, F, \mathcal{O}_F^r)(\mathbb{C}) = \{(V, \alpha) \in \mathcal{M}(P, F, \mathcal{O}_F^r)(\mathbb{C}) \mid H^1(P, \mathcal{E}nd(V)(-S - \bar{S})) = 0\}.$$

Analogously to our notations in Section 2.1 we put

$$\mathcal{M}_0(P, F, \mathcal{O}_F^r, c_\bullet) = \mathcal{M}_0(P, F, \mathcal{O}_F^r) \cap \mathcal{M}(P, F, \mathcal{O}_F^r, c_\bullet).$$

Lemma 2.7 Consider $c_\bullet \in H^\bullet(M, \mathbb{Z})$ and $(V, \alpha) \in \mathcal{M}_0(P, F, \mathcal{O}_F^r, \pi^*c_\bullet)(\mathbb{C})$.

$$\text{Then we have } \dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^i(P, \mathcal{E}nd(V)(-S)) = \begin{cases} -\chi(\mathcal{E}nd(V)(-S)) & \text{for } i = 1 \\ 0 & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

Proof: By Lemma 2.3 we have

$$H^0(P, \mathcal{E}nd(V)(-S)) = 0.$$

With Serre duality and with $K_P = \mathcal{O}_P(-2S - 2\bar{S})$ we obtain

$$H^3(P, \mathcal{E}nd(V)(-S))^\vee = H^0(P, \mathcal{E}nd(V)(-S - 2\bar{S})) \subset H^0(P, \mathcal{E}nd(V)(-S)) = 0.$$

For $\bar{E}$ be the exceptional divisor of the blowing up $|F| : \bar{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ we have $K_{\bar{S}} = \mathcal{O}_{\bar{S}}(-3F + \bar{E})$.

With $K_{\bar{S}} = \mathcal{O}_{\bar{S}} \otimes \mathcal{O}_P(\bar{S}) \otimes K_P$ we infer the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}nd(V)(-S - 2\bar{S}) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}nd(V)(-S - \bar{S}) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}nd(V|_{\bar{S}})(-2F + \bar{E}) \rightarrow 0.$$

Since the bundle $\mathcal{E}nd(V|_{\bar{S}})$ is trivial on general lines of $\bar{S}$ and $\bar{E}$ is exceptional, also $\mathcal{E}nd(V|_{\bar{S}})(\bar{E})$ is trivial on general lines and analogously to the proof of Lemma 2.1 we obtain the vanishing of $H^0(\bar{S}, \mathcal{E}nd(V|_{\bar{S}})(-2F + \bar{E}))$. Hence, the cohomology sequence of the last short exact sequence implies an inclusion $H^1(P, \mathcal{E}nd(V)(-S - 2\bar{S})) \hookrightarrow H^1(P, \mathcal{E}nd(V)(-S - \bar{S}))$ and our presumption gives us the vanishing $H^1(P, \mathcal{E}nd(V)(-S - 2\bar{S})) = 0$. Then Serre duality yields

$$H^2(P, \mathcal{E}nd(V)(-S))^\vee = H^1(P, \mathcal{E}nd(V)(-S - 2\bar{S})) = 0. \quad \square$$

By Theorem 1.4 the last lemma gives us:

Corollary 2.8 Around every closed point $(V, \alpha) \in \mathcal{M}_0(P, F, \mathcal{O}_F^r, \pi^*c_\bullet)(\mathbb{C})$, the fibres of the natural transformation

$$\mathcal{M}_0(P, F, \mathcal{O}_F^r, \pi^*c_\bullet) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(S, F, \mathcal{O}_F^r, \pi_S^*c_\bullet)$$

are smooth and of constant dimension $-\chi(\mathcal{E}nd(V)(-S))$.

Theorem 2.9 The natural transformation

$$\mathcal{M}_0(P, F, \mathcal{O}_F^r, \pi^*c_\bullet) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(S, F, \mathcal{O}_F^r, \pi_S^*c_\bullet)$$

is smooth. More detailed we have the tangent space of the functor $\mathcal{M}_0(P, F, \mathcal{O}_F^r, \pi^*c_\bullet)$ in a point $(V, \alpha) \in \mathcal{M}_0(P, F, \mathcal{O}_F^r, \pi^*c_\bullet)(\mathbb{C})$ as a natural direct sum of the tangent space in direction of the fibre and the tangent space in direction of the image, i.e.

$$T_{(V, \alpha)} \mathcal{M}_0(P, F, \mathcal{O}_F^r, \pi^*c_\bullet) = T_{(V, \text{id}_{V|_S})} \mathcal{M}(P, S, V|_S, \pi^*c_\bullet) \oplus T_{(V|_S, \alpha)} \mathcal{M}(S, F, \mathcal{O}_F^r, \pi_S^*c_\bullet).$$

Both summands are of dimension $-\chi(\mathcal{E}nd(V)(-S))$.

$\mathcal{M}_0(P, F, \mathcal{O}_F^r, \pi^*c_\bullet)$ and the fibres over $\mathcal{M}(S, F, \mathcal{O}_F^r, \pi_S^*c_\bullet)$ are smooth and equidimensional. The image of the natural transformation in $\mathcal{M}(S, F, \mathcal{O}_F^r, \pi_S^*c_\bullet)$ is an open and smooth algebraic subspace.

Proof: The diagram (*)

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
& & 0 & & 0 & & \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
& & \mathcal{O}_P(-S) & = & \mathcal{O}_P(-S) & & \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_P(-S - \bar{S}) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_P(-S) \oplus \mathcal{O}_P(-\bar{S}) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{J}_{F|P} \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & \downarrow = & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_P(-S - \bar{S}) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_P(-\bar{S}) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_S(-F) \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
& & & & 0 & & 0
\end{array}$$

is commutative with exact lines and columns. For $(V, \alpha) \in \mathcal{M}_0(P, F, \mathcal{O}_F^r, \pi^* c_\bullet)(\mathbb{C})$ and because of the vanishing of the neighboured cohomology groups we obtain

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & & 0 \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
H^1(P, \mathcal{E}nd(V)(-S)) & \xrightarrow{=} & H^1(P, \mathcal{E}nd(V)(-S)) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
H^1(P, \mathcal{E}nd(V)(-S)) & & \\
\oplus & \xrightarrow{\cong} & H^1(P, \mathcal{E}nd(V) \otimes \mathcal{J}_{F|P}) \\
H^1(P, \mathcal{E}nd(V)(-\bar{S})) & & \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
H^1(P, \mathcal{E}nd(V)(-\bar{S})) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & H^1(S, \mathcal{E}nd(V|_S)(-F)) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
0 & & 0
\end{array}$$

as a commutative diagram with exact columns. The second column contains the tangent maps at (V, α) corresponding to the composition

$$\mathcal{M}(P, S, V|_S, \pi^* c_\bullet) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}_0(P, F, \mathcal{O}_F^r, \pi^* c_\bullet) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(S, F, \mathcal{O}_F^r, \pi_S^* c_\bullet)$$

Therefore the natural transformation

$$\mathcal{M}_0(P, F, \mathcal{O}_F^r, \pi^* c_\bullet) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(S, F, \mathcal{O}_F^r, \pi_S^* c_\bullet)$$

is submersive and the tangent space of $\mathcal{M}_0(P, F, \mathcal{O}_F^r, \pi^* c_\bullet)$ in (V, α) is a natural direct sum of the tangent spaces in direction of the fibre and in direction of the image.

With Lemma 2.6 we have

$$h^1(\mathcal{E}nd(V)(-S)) = -\chi(\mathcal{E}nd(V)(-S)) = -\chi(\mathcal{E}nd(V)(-\bar{S})) = h^1(\mathcal{E}nd(V)(-\bar{S})) = h^1(\mathcal{E}nd(V|_S)(-F)),$$

whence both direct summands are of the same dimension $-\chi(\mathcal{E}nd(V)(-S))$. From (*) we also obtain

$$H^2(P, \mathcal{E}nd(V) \otimes \mathcal{I}_{F|P}) \cong H^2(S, \mathcal{E}nd(V|_S)(-F))$$

and

$$H^2(S, \mathcal{E}nd(V|_S)(-F)) \cong H^3(P, \mathcal{E}nd(V)(-S - \bar{S})).$$

We have

$$H^3(P, \mathcal{E}nd(V)(-S - \bar{S}))^\vee = H^0(P, \mathcal{E}nd(V)(-S - \bar{S})) \subset H^0(P, \mathcal{E}nd(V)(-S - \bar{S})) = 0$$

and hence $H^2(S, \mathcal{E}nd(V|_S)(-F)) = 0$ and $H^2(P, \mathcal{E}nd(V) \otimes \mathcal{I}_{F|P}) = 0$, which shows the smoothness by Theorem 1.4. $\square$

2.3 Framed $U(r)$ -instanton bundles

Lemma 2.10 *The property of a vector bundle on P to be a mathematical instanton bundle is open.*

Proof: Let T be an analytical space and V a vector bundle over $T \times P$. For $t \in T$ we denote with V_t the vector bundle on P induced by $V|_{\{t\} \times P}$. We assume that for a point $0 \in T$ the vector bundle V_0 is an instanton bundle, i.e. trivial along twistor fibres. We have to show that there is a neighbourhood $0 \in T_1 \subset T$, such that for all $t \in T_1$ the vector bundle V_t is an instanton bundle.

We consider the universal family

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Z & \xrightarrow{\nu} & P \\ \downarrow \mu & & \\ H & & \end{array}$$

of lines on P as in the introduction. We may restrict H to the open neighbourhood of M consisting of points, where the corresponding lines have intersection product one with S . We put $\bar{\nu} = \text{id}_T \times \nu$, $\bar{\mu} = \text{id}_T \times \mu$ and $W = \bar{\nu}^*(V \otimes \mathcal{O}_{T \times P}(-T \times S))$. Recall that μ is a proper and flat morphism with projective lines as fibres. There are an covering $\{U_0^\lambda | \lambda \in \text{some index set}\}$ of H of sufficiently small open neighbourhoods and effective divisors $D^\lambda \subset \bar{\mu}^{-1}(T \times U_0^\lambda) = U^\lambda$, such that $R^i(\bar{\mu}|_{U^\lambda})_*(W|_{U^\lambda}(D^\lambda)) = 0$ for all $i > 0$ and all λ . We can choose D^λ of the form $T \times D_0^\lambda$, where D_0^λ is a sufficiently high multiple of a section over U_0^λ meeting the fibres of μ transversally. Since M is compact we may assume that $\{U_0^\lambda | \lambda = 1, \dots, n\}$ already covers M . The short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow W|_{U^\lambda} \rightarrow W|_{U^\lambda}(D^\lambda) \rightarrow W|_{U^\lambda}(D^\lambda) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{D^\lambda} \rightarrow 0$$

yields the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow (\bar{\mu}|_{U^\lambda})_* W|_{U^\lambda} \rightarrow (\bar{\mu}|_{U^\lambda})_* W|_{U^\lambda}(D^\lambda) \xrightarrow{u^\lambda} (\bar{\mu}|_{U^\lambda})_* W|_{U^\lambda}(D^\lambda) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{D^\lambda} \rightarrow R^1(\bar{\mu}|_{U^\lambda})_* W|_{U^\lambda} \rightarrow 0.$$

In the following h denotes a point on H as well as the corresponding line on P . If V_t is trivial along h , then $h \cong \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1$ gives $W|_{\bar{\mu}^{-1}(t,h)} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1}(-1)$, since we had assumed $(h \cdot S) = 1$. Since V_0 is trivial along twistor fibres and since the triviality of a vector bundle on $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1$ is an open property, there is an open neighbourhood $\{0\} \times M \subset B \subset T \times H$, such that for all $(t, h) \in B$ the vector bundle $V_t|_h$ is trivial. Inparticular we have for all $(t, h) \in B$

$$H^0(\bar{\mu}^{-1}(t, h), W|_{\bar{\mu}^{-1}(t,h)}) = H^1(\bar{\mu}^{-1}(t, h), W|_{\bar{\mu}^{-1}(t,h)}) = 0.$$

Since $\bar{\mu}$ is flat, W is flat over $T \times H$ and base change implies the vanishing of $(\bar{\mu}|_{U^\lambda})_* W|_{U^\lambda}$ and of $R^1(\bar{\mu}|_{U^\lambda})_* W|_{U^\lambda}$ over $B \cap (T \times U_0^\lambda)$. Therefore u^λ is an isomorphism over $B \cap (T \times U_0^\lambda)$ and hence $\det(u^\lambda)$ is a not constantly zero section of

$$\det(((\bar{\mu}|_{U^\lambda})_* W|_{U^\lambda}(D^\lambda))^\vee \otimes ((\bar{\mu}|_{U^\lambda})_* W|_{U^\lambda}(D^\lambda) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{D^\lambda})) \cong \det(((\bar{\mu}|_{U^\lambda})_* W|_{U^\lambda})^\vee \otimes R^1(\bar{\mu}|_{U^\lambda})_* W|_{U^\lambda}).$$

Moreover, all points (t, h) with $V_t|_h$ non-trivial belong to the support of $\Delta^\lambda = \text{div}(\det u^\lambda)$.

For $\lambda = 1, \dots, n$ there are open neighbourhoods $0 \in T_0^\lambda \subset T$, such that $\Delta_t^\lambda = \Delta^\lambda|_{\{t\} \times U_0^\lambda}$ are divisors on U_0^λ for all $t \in T_0^\lambda$. Thus $\Delta^\lambda \subset T_0^\lambda \times U_0^\lambda$ are analytic families of divisors on U_0^λ for all $\lambda = 1, \dots, n$. Since V_0 is an instanton bundle we have $\Delta_0^\lambda \subset U_0^\lambda - M$. M is a closed subset of H and thus there exists open neighbourhoods $0 \in T_1^\lambda \subset T_0^\lambda$, such that for all $t \in T_1^\lambda$ we have $\Delta_t^\lambda \subset U_0^\lambda - M$. We put $T_1 = \bigcap_{\lambda=1}^n T_1^\lambda$ and obtain that for all $t \in T_1$ and for all $h \in M$ the vector bundle $V_t|_h$ is trivial. $\square$

Warning: Contrarily to the most other parts of this paper, the last lemma does not hold in the algebraic setup, since M has not to be Zariski-closed.

Remark. Let $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{I}}(P, F, \mathcal{O}_F^r)$ be the functor of families of framed mathematical instanton bundles. By the previous Lemma we have $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{I}}(P, F, \mathcal{O}_F^r) \subset \mathcal{M}(P, F, \mathcal{O}_F^r)$ as an analytically open subfunctor. In particular,

$$\mathcal{M}_1(P, F, \mathcal{O}_F^r, \pi^* c_\bullet) = \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{I}}(P, F, \mathcal{O}_F^r) \cap \mathcal{M}_0(P, F, \mathcal{O}_F^r, \pi^* c_\bullet)$$

is an analytically open subfunctor and the natural transformation

$$\mathcal{M}_1(P, F, \mathcal{O}_F^r, \pi^* c_\bullet) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(S, F, \mathcal{O}_F^r, \pi_S^* c_\bullet)$$

is smooth of relative dimension $-\chi(\mathcal{E}nd(V)(-S))$ with all the details as in Theorem 2.9.

For T an algebraic space and $(V, \alpha) \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{I}}(P, F, \mathcal{O}_F^r)(T)$ we define $\sigma(V, \alpha)$ to be the family of framed instanton bundles $((\text{id}_T \times \tau)^* \bar{V}^\vee, (\tau^* \bar{\alpha}^\vee)^{-1})$, where τ is the antiholomorphic involution on P as in the introduction and the "bar" means complex conjugation. Thus σ defines a real structure on $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{I}}(P, F, \mathcal{O}_F^r)$ and the closed fixpoints $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{I}}(P, F, \mathcal{O}_F^r)(\mathbb{R})$ is just the set of isomorphism classes of framed $U(r)$ -instanton bundles on P .

To be more specific, we define $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{I}}^{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{F}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{F}}^{\mathbf{r}})$ as the functor that associates to an algebraic space $\mathbf{T}$ the set of isomorphism classes of families of framed instanton bundles (V, α) on $\mathbf{P}$ parametrized by $\mathbf{T}$, which have the additional property that there exists an isomorphism of framed vector bundles

$$\varphi : (V, \alpha) \rightarrow ((\text{id}_{\mathbf{T}} \times \tau)^* \bar{V}^{\vee}, (\tau^* \bar{\alpha}^{\vee})^{-1}).$$

Then σ defines $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{I}}^{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{F}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{F}}^{\mathbf{r}})$ as closed real-analytic subfunctor of $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{I}}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{F}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{F}}^{\mathbf{r}})$.

We have $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{I}}^{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{F}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{F}}^{\mathbf{r}})$ embedded in $\mathcal{M}_1(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{F}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{F}}^{\mathbf{r}})$ and we can examine the restriction of the natural transformation described in Section 2.2 to $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{I}}^{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{F}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{F}}^{\mathbf{r}})$.

Theorem 2.11 *The natural transformation*

$$\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{I}}^{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{F}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{F}}^{\mathbf{r}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{F}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{F}}^{\mathbf{r}})$$

given by restriction is an open real-analytic embedding.

Proof: We have to show that the restriction is injective for closed points and the associated tangent maps are isomorphisms.

We denote $\tau|_{\mathbf{S}}$ and $\tau|_{\bar{\mathbf{S}}}$ again with τ and obtain a real structure σ' on $\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{F}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{F}}^{\mathbf{r}}) \times \mathcal{M}(\bar{\mathbf{S}}, \mathbf{F}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{F}}^{\mathbf{r}})$ by mapping

$$((V, \alpha), (W, \beta)) \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{F}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{F}}^{\mathbf{r}})(\mathbf{T}) \times \mathcal{M}(\bar{\mathbf{S}}, \mathbf{F}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{F}}^{\mathbf{r}})(\mathbf{T})$$

to

$$(((\text{id}_{\mathbf{T}} \times \tau)^* \bar{W}^{\vee}, (\tau^* \bar{\beta}^{\vee})^{-1}), ((\text{id}_{\mathbf{T}} \times \tau)^* \bar{V}^{\vee}, (\tau^* \bar{\alpha}^{\vee})^{-1})).$$

Hence the natural transformation

$$\Psi : \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{I}}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{F}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{F}}^{\mathbf{r}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{F}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{F}}^{\mathbf{r}}) \times \mathcal{M}(\bar{\mathbf{S}}, \mathbf{F}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{F}}^{\mathbf{r}})$$

given by restriction to both factors is real, i.e. compatible with both real structures σ and σ' .

From the diagram (*) in the proof of Theorem 2.9 we obtained for $(V, \alpha) \in \mathcal{M}_0(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{F}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{F}}^{\mathbf{r}})(\mathbb{C})$ the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} 0 & & 0 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H^1(\mathbf{P}, \mathcal{E}nd(\mathbf{V})(-\mathbf{S})) & \xrightarrow{=} & H^1(\mathbf{P}, \mathcal{E}nd(\mathbf{V})(-\mathbf{S})) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H^1(\mathbf{P}, \mathcal{E}nd(\mathbf{V})(-\mathbf{S})) & & \\ \oplus & \xrightarrow{\cong} & H^1(\mathbf{P}, \mathcal{E}nd(\mathbf{V}) \otimes \mathcal{J}_{\mathbf{F}|\mathbf{P}}) \\ H^1(\mathbf{P}, \mathcal{E}nd(\mathbf{V})(-\bar{\mathbf{S}})) & & \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H^1(\mathbf{P}, \mathcal{E}nd(\mathbf{V})(-\bar{\mathbf{S}})) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & H^1(\mathbf{S}, \mathcal{E}nd(\mathbf{V}|_{\mathbf{S}})(-\mathbf{F})) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & & 0 \end{array}$$

with exact columns. We may interchange S and $\bar{S}$ and make use of the isomorphism

$$H^1(P, \mathcal{E}nd(V)(-S)) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^1(\bar{S}, \mathcal{E}nd(V|_{\bar{S}})(-F))$$

to obtain a natural splitting

$$H^1(P, \mathcal{E}nd(V) \otimes \mathcal{I}_{F|P}) = H^1(S, \mathcal{E}nd(V|_S)(-F)) \oplus H^1(\bar{S}, \mathcal{E}nd(V|_{\bar{S}})(-F)),$$

which is just the tangent map of Ψ at (V, α) .

Now we assume that (V, α) and (W, β) are two elements in $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{I}}(P, F, \mathcal{O}_F^r)(\mathbb{R})$, such that $\Psi(V, \alpha) \cong \Psi(W, \beta)$. This means that there are two isomorphisms of framed vector bundles $\varphi_S : (V|_S, \alpha) \rightarrow (W|_S, \beta)$ and $\varphi_{\bar{S}} : (V|_{\bar{S}}, \alpha) \rightarrow (W|_{\bar{S}}, \beta)$, which implies that $\varphi_S|_F = \beta^{-1} \circ \alpha = \varphi_{\bar{S}}|_F$. Thus we have an isomorphism of framed vector bundles $\varphi_{S \cup \bar{S}} : (V|_{S \cup \bar{S}}, \alpha) \rightarrow (W|_{S \cup \bar{S}}, \beta)$. With V and W $U(r)$ -instanton bundles also $\mathcal{H}om(V, W)$ is an $U(r)$ -instanton bundle and in particular $H^1(P, \mathcal{H}om(V, W)(-S - \bar{S})) = 0$. Therefore the restriction map $\text{Hom}(V, W) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(V|_{S \cup \bar{S}}, W|_{S \cup \bar{S}})$ is surjective and we can find a morphism of framed vector bundles $\varphi : (V, \alpha) \rightarrow (W, \beta)$ as extension of $\varphi_{S \cup \bar{S}}$. By Lemma 2.3 φ is an isomorphism and hence Ψ injective along $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{I}}(P, F, \mathcal{O}_F^r)(\mathbb{R})$.

Therefore Ψ is an open embedding in an open neighbourhood of $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{I}}^{\mathbb{R}}(P, F, \mathcal{O}_F^r)$ and hence we have a real-analytic open embedding

$$\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{I}}^{\mathbb{R}}(P, F, \mathcal{O}_F^r) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}^{\mathbb{R}},$$

where $\mathcal{N}^{\mathbb{R}}$ denotes the closed real-analytic subfunctor of $\mathcal{M}(S, F, \mathcal{O}_F^r) \times \mathcal{M}(\bar{S}, F, \mathcal{O}_F^r)$ defined by the real structure σ' .

Now we consider the projection

$$\Phi : \mathcal{M}(S, F, \mathcal{O}_F^r) \times \mathcal{M}(\bar{S}, F, \mathcal{O}_F^r) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(S, F, \mathcal{O}_F^r)$$

and notice that Φ induces an isomorphism $\mathcal{N}^{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(S, F, \mathcal{O}_F^r)$ due to the inverse transformation

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}(S, F, \mathcal{O}_F^r)(T) &\longrightarrow \mathcal{N}^{\mathbb{R}}(T) \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{M}(S, F, \mathcal{O}_F^r) \times \mathcal{M}(\bar{S}, F, \mathcal{O}_F^r))(T) \\ (V, \alpha) &\longrightarrow ((V, \alpha), ((\text{id}_T \times \tau)^* \bar{V}^\vee, (\tau^* \bar{\alpha}^\vee)^{-1})). \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\Phi \circ \Psi$ defines an open real-analytic embedding

$$\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{I}}^{\mathbb{R}}(P, F, \mathcal{O}_F^r) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(S, F, \mathcal{O}_F^r). \quad \square$$

The result of N.P. Buchdahl's article [5] implies that $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{I}}(P, F, \mathcal{O}_F^r)(\mathbb{R})$ and $\mathcal{M}(S, F, \mathcal{O}_F^r)(\mathbb{C})$ are naturally bijective and we obtain

Corollary 2.12 *The natural transformation $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{I}}^{\mathbb{R}}(P, F, \mathcal{O}_F^r) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(S, F, \mathcal{O}_F^r)$ given by restriction is a real-analytic isomorphism. For fixed $\mathbf{c}_\bullet \in H^\bullet(M, \mathbb{Z})$ the functor $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{I}}^{\mathbb{R}}(P, F, \mathcal{O}_F^r, \pi^* \mathbf{c}_\bullet)$ is represented by a smooth separated algebraic space of finite type over $\mathbb{C}$.*

References

- [1] *Artin M.*: Algebraic Spaces. Whittemore Lectures, Yale University, May 1969
- [2] *Artin M.*: Théorèmes de représentabilité pour les espaces algébriques. Les Presses de l'Université de Montréal, Séminaire de Mathématiques Supérieures 1973
- [3] *Atiyah M.F., Hitchin N.J., Singer I.M.*: Self-duality in Four-dimensional Riemannian Geometry . Proc.Roy.Soc.London, Ser.A 362, 1978, 425 - 461
- [4] *Buchdahl N.P.*: Instantons on $\mathbb{CP}_2$. Journal of Differential Geometry 24, 19 - 52, 1986
- [5] *Buchdahl N.P.*: Instantons on $n\mathbb{CP}_2$. Journal of Differential Geometry 37, 669 - 687, 1993
- [6] *Donaldson S.K.*: Instantons and Geometric Invariant Theory . Communications in Mathematical Physics 93, 453 - 460, 1984
- [7] *Friedrich T.*: Self-duality of Riemannian Manifolds and Connections. In: Self-dual Riemannian Geometry and Instantons, Teubner Texte zur Mathematik 34, Teubner Leipzig, 1981
- [8] *Godement R.*: Topologie algébrique et théorie des faisceaux. Publications de l'Institut de Mathématique de l'Université de Strasbourg XIII, Hermann Paris, 1964
- [9] *Grauert H., Remmert R.*: Coherent Analytic Sheaves . Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften 165, Springer Berlin etc., 1984
- [10] *Hartshorne R.*: Residues and Duality. Lecture Notes in Mathematics 20, Springer Berlin etc., 1966
- [11] *Hirzebruch F.*: Topological Methods in Algebraic Geometry, 3rd enlarged edition. Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, Band 131, Springer Berlin etc., 1966
- [12] *Hitchin N.J.*: Linear Field Equations on Self-dual Spaces. Proc.Roy.Soc.London, Ser.A, 370, 173 - 191, 1980
- [13] *Huybrechts D., Lehn M.*: Framed modules and their moduli . International Journal of Mathematics 6(2), 297-324, 1995
- [14] *Huybrechts D., Lehn M.*: Stable pairs on curves and surfaces . Journal of Algebraic Geometry 2, 1993
- [15] *Kawamata Y.*: Unobstructed Deformations - A Remark on a Paper of Z. Ran. Journal of Algebraic Geometry 1, 1992
- [16] *Knutson D.*: Algebraic Spaces. Lectures Notes, Yale University, Springer, Berlin etc. 1973
- [17] *Kreußler B., Kurke H.*: Twistor Spaces over a Connected Sum of Three Projective Planes. Preprint 201/1988, Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, Sektion Mathematik
- [18] *Kurke H.*: Classification of Twistor Spaces with a Pencil of Surfaces of Degree One, Part I. Mathematische Nachrichten 158, S.67 - 85, 1992
- [19] *Kurke H.*: Geometry of the Yang-Mills Equation and Holomorphic Vector Bundles. Lecture Notes, September 1986
- [20] *LeBrun C.*: Explicit Self-dual Metrics on $\mathbb{CP}^2 \# \dots \# \mathbb{CP}^2$. Journal of Differential Geometry 34, 223-253, 1991
- [21] *Lehn M.*: Modulräume gerahmter Vektorbündel . Inauguraldissertation zur Erlangung des Doktorgrades der Mathematisch-Naturwissenschaftlichen Fakultät der Friedrich-Wilhelm-Universität Bonn
- [22] *Lübke M.*: The analytic moduli space of framed vector bundles. Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik 441, 45 - 59, 1993
- [23] *Poon Y.S.*: Compact Self-dual Manifolds with Positive Scalar Curvature. Journal of Differential Geometry 24, 97 - 132, 1986
- [24] *Schlessinger M.*: Functors of Artin Rings. Transactions of the AMS 130, 208 - 222, 1968